## STATE AGENCY ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW SUMMARY

School Food Authority Name: Trumann School District

Date of Administrative Review (Entrance Conference Date): January 27, 2017

Date review results were provided to the School Food Authority: February 22, 2017

## **General Program Participation**

1.	What Child Nutrition Programs does the School Food Authority participate in? (Select all that apply)					
	X School Breakfast Program					
	X National School Lunch Program					
	☐ Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Program					
	☐ Afterschool Snack					
	☐ Seamless Summer Option					
2.	Does the School Food Authority operate under any Special Provisions? (Select all that apply)					
	□ Community Eligibility Provision					
	☐ Special Provision 2					
Review	Findings					
3.	Were any findings identified during the review of this School Food Authority?					
	X Yes $\Box$ No					

If yes, please indicate the areas and what issues were identified in the table below.

YES	NO	REVIEW FINDINGS			
X	Access and Reimbursement				
		YES	NO		
		X		Certification and Benefit Issuance	
		X		Verification	
			X	Meal Counting and Claiming	
		Findin	g(s) Det	tails:	
		1)		v- two (42) free and reduced price meal applications had	
				rmining errors	
		2)		tters sent to households to inform of meal eligibility status were	
				d 8-15-16, the first day of school, regardless of actual	
		2)		rmination date (2) out of four (4) applications above for verification had	
		3)		(2) out of four (4) applications chosen for verification had ing or incorrect income documents submitted by the	
				eholds. One verification documentation of a district employee	
				ded an envelope not a paystub or remuneration statement	
		4)		tters to households with a reduction of benefits did not provide	
		,		equired 10 day notice before a decrease in benefit was made	
				quired by federal regulation.	
X		B. Meal Patterns and Nutritional Quality			
		YES	NO		
		X		Meal Components and Quantities	
			X	Offer versus Serve	
			X	Dietary Specifications and Nutrient Analysis	
		Findin	g(s) Det		
		1)		kfast and Lunch Production Records were incomplete for the	
				w month and the review week at the time of the review. This	
			make	es it impossible to confirm meal pattern requirements are met.	

		2)		n review of the October menus, several days during the month		
		did not have adequate servings of vegetable to meet the federal				
		meal pattern requirements.  C. School Nutrition Environment				
		YES	NO	utrition Environment		
		X		SFA On-Site Monitoring		
		-	X	Local School Wellness Policy		
			X	School Meal Environment		
			X	Competitive Foods		
			X	Smart Snacks in Schools		
		X		Professional Standards		
		$\bigcap$	$\frac{\square}{\mathbf{X}}$	Water		
		$\mathbf{X}$		Food Safety		
			$\frac{\square}{X}$	Storage		
			X	Buy American		
		X		Reporting and Record Keeping		
			X	School Breakfast Program and Summer Meals Outreach		
			X	Other		
			$\frac{\Lambda}{g(s) \text{ De}}$			
			ervations at Trumann Intermediate School during the review			
		1)		k did not validate the on-site review form completed at the		
			distri	·		
		<ul><li>2) The district has no tracking mechanism for the required employed</li></ul>				
		trainings.				
		3) Food temperatures were not being taken and are not being				
		documented per stated procedures.				
		<ol> <li>No sanitizing test strips were available for 3-compartment sink at this service site.</li> </ol>				
		<ul><li>5) The first sink of 3-compartment sink in the ware-washing area w</li></ul>				
		observed being used for washing potatoes				
		6) The latest Health Inspection was not posted in cafeteria in a				
		<ul> <li>prominent location as required by federal regulation.</li> <li>7) Health Inspection reports from March and September 2016 both contained the violation of Code 6-202.11, "Lights in the walk-in</li> </ul>				
				er & freezer were not shatter proof and did not have a		
				ective shield".		
	X	D. Ci	ivil Rig	hts		
		Findin	g(s) De	tails:		
X		E. O	ther			
		Findin	g(s) De	tails:		
		Paid Lunch Equity tools have not been completed correctly. The				
		district should have completed the Non-Federal Calculator and				
				esited funds into the non-profit foodservice account for SY		
			2015	i-16 and will need to for SY 2016-17.		